

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,318	10/22/2003	David M. Shamine	03-274	2187
7.	590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER	
Michael B. McNeil			CHRISTENSEN, RYAN S	
Liell & McNeil Attorneys PC P.O. Box 2417		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bloomington, IN 47402			2856	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· -				
	10/691,318	SHAMINE, DAVID M	1.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Ryan Christensen	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cer sheet with the	e correspondence addre	9SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2	<u>23/2003</u> .						
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian raquiroment						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			4 424/4\				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamilier. Note the attached On	ce Action of form 1	-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No					
Copies of the certified copies of the price		ived in this National St	age				
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not rece	ived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Information 6) Other:	Plate al Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8, drawn to a leak diagnosis component, classified in class 137, subclass 597.
- II. Claims 9-20, drawn to a method and apparatus for determining a leak in a fuel system, classified in class 73, subclass 40.5R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a block for the leak lines and diagnostic ports. The subcombination has separate utility such as fluid system for mixing.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,318

Art Unit: 2856

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,318 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Christensen whose telephone number is 571-272-2683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Man r. while